

SHIPPENSBURG BOROUGH COUNCIL

July 1, 2014

7:02 PM

Present at the Council Meeting: Andrea Lage

Absent: Angie Facchinei

Kathy Coy

Joe Hockersmith

Kerri Burrows

John Alosi

Lance Hoover

Sam Wiser

Bruce Hockersmith

Andrea Lage: Alright, let's begin with the conditional use hearing.

Donna Sommerville: I would like to say for the record that tonight's hearing was advertised in the News Chronicle in the June 17th and June 24th paper. All contiguous property owners were notified and a notice was posted at the property as well as on the front door of the Borough office.

Andrea Lage: Tonight we are hearing Case # 2014-CU-01 and it is a conditional use request for a motor vehicle parking lot in conjunction with a business as per code. Is there anyone here to speak to this case? Those that would like to speak would need to take an oath so anyone who would like to provide testimony please stand and raise your right hands. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony that you give tonight will be the truth?

Group answers: Yes.

Andrea Lage: Donna if you would like to give us a brief background?

Donna Sommerville: Okay. Big Dog Investments is going to be expanding their office building and as a result they need to reconfigure their parking lot. A motor vehicle parking lot in conjunction with the business as far as conditional use approval and the expansion and reconfiguration of that parking lot.

Sam Wiser: There is a couple criteria that the Zoning Ordinance requires that the applicant meets. Some of those criteria are specific criteria and some of those are general criteria. The applicant first has demonstrated compliance with the specific criteria that is found in 151-14 entitled Motor Vehicle Parking Lot Zoning Ordinance. It allows for motor vehicle parking lots in certain zones in accordance with certain requirements. A. Requires that no portions of such lot be located more than 300' from a commercial or manufacturing district boundary for other public functions that it serves. Will this parking lot be on the lot of the building on which it serves?

Mike Runyon: Yes.

Sam Wiser: B requires that a planting strip of not less than 5' in width shall be provided between the parking area and the pedestrian sidewalk adjacent to any public or private street. Do you propose to include the planting strip of not less than 5'?

Mike Runyon: I am on the, it is not actually a planting strip it is a retaining wall that is going in where the parking is.

Sam Wiser: So, is there a no pedestrian sidewalk adjacent to the parking area?

Mike Runyon: There would be steps for pedestrians going down into the main parking lot. There is not a sidewalk there. It is not feasible.

Sam Wiser: So there is no public sidewalk?

Mike Runyon: Yes.

Sam Wiser: Have the entrance and exit points been approved in advance by the borough engineer to ensure adequate traffic safety?

Mike Runyon: Yes.

Sam Wiser: I do believe that we have a letter from Tim Cormany dated May 30, 2014 in which he says it appears to comply with the intent and purpose of the ordinance. Will the parking lot be used for the storage of dead vehicles or vehicles used for human or other habitation?

Mike Runyon: No.

Sam Wiser: The lot size, can you tell me what zone that the parking lot will be located in.

Mike Runyon: It will commercial.

Sam Wiser: What is the size of the proposed parking lot? Is it less than ½ acre?

Mike Runyon: Yes.

Sam Wiser: There are general criteria that the applicant must demonstrate as well. That is found in section 150-93 of the Zoning Ordinance. Is the proposed use of the motor vehicle parking lot consistent with the purpose and intent of the Zoning Ordinance?

Mike Runyon: Yes.

Sam Wiser: Will the proposed use detract from the use and enjoyment of adjoining or nearby properties?

Mike Runyon: No.

Sam Wiser: Will the proposed use effect the change in the character of the subject properties neighborhood?

Mike Runyon: No.

Sam Wiser: Are there adequate vehicular access points to service the parking lot?

Mike Runyon: Yes.

Sam Wiser: Is the property located within a flood plain zone?

Mike Runyon: No.

Sam Wiser: Will the proposed use in any way impair the integrity of the Boroughs comprehensive plan?

Mike Runyon: No.

Sam Wiser: Those are all the specific and general criteria that the applicant is required to provide testimony on. If Council has any questions at this point it would be appropriate to ask them. If the applicant has any additional explanatory information you can offer that as well.

Mike Runyon: I do not think so.

Lane Thrush: The only thing that I would say is the parking itself spaces that were created are on the subject, we only need to cross over the neighboring property to get to those spaces which we own as well. It is a separate deeded piece of ground.

Sam Wiser: But, it is within 300' of the primary use?

Lane Thrush: Yes, within a foot.

Andrea Lage: I believe that in our letter from Martin and Martin on May 30th it does say that all of the specific criteria by their assessment appear to be met including the area of ½ acre. Anything other?

Joe Hockersmith: This is in the same area where we the roadwork to run water more efficiently, is that correct?

Lance Hoover: Yes, we have probably several hundred feet down the street we will be doing a project for storm water across.

Joe Hockersmith: Right, this is a relatively flat area as I remember and I just want to make sure that we are covering storm water disposal.

Andrea Lage: Yes, that was done when we discussed the land development plan.

Joe Hockersmith: Okay, then I have no questions here.

Andrea Lage: Are there any questions regarding the use?

Hearing nothing from Council or anyone in the audience that would like to address this or raise an issue? If there is no further testimony to be given I suggest that we close testimony and open discussion.

Sam Wiser: You can close the hearing and take action during the business section of the meeting or you can make a decision at this point.

John Alosi: I do have a question, is there any discussion right now that has to deal with the use? Ok, no questions.

Andrea Lage: The testimony is closed and we can close the hearing and move to take action on our regular agenda. The hearing is now closed and we will move on to our agenda.

INVOCATION: Kathy Coy

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: COUNCIL

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Bill Lape: 130 Park Place West. It was brought to my attention today and I did place a phone call to Mr. Miller of UGI to try to get some answers. There is going to be a gas line installed down Park Place West and I am a little curious and also presenting this because I am not sure where the stance is on this. It is my understanding that this is a very high pressure line that is going to Volvo and my understanding is that residents can not connect to this line. That is why I wanted to clear it up with Jim Miller before I came to the meeting tonight. I am not sure but this high pressure line going down past the park and the residential area I think has raised concern as to possible safety issues and as a matter of public comment I just wanted to raise that question to council if there has been any other concerns raise about this and are there other alternatives in the placement of this high pressure gas line?

Andrea Lage: Okay, thank you. Kevin or Lance would you like to address that with what you do know?

Lance Hoover: Yes, we have been informed by UGI that there is going to be a gas line and it will be connected in to Volvo and it will run down through our property and then down through Park Place. I believe I am correct on saying that we have been identified that the residents would have the opportunity to connect in. I am not sure,

Joe Hockersmith: That is what I understood that they would be able to connect.

Lance Hoover: As far as the location and the placement, I am not certain that we have a lot of say in that. I think we may have seen some preliminary plans but I do not know that anything has been presented to Council formally with exact locations or anything along those lines. I do believe that council is not aware of this that we did receive a letter today in the mail from another resident from Park Place. Yes we have been informed that there will be gas line and that residents would in fact have the ability to connect in.

Bill Lape: The high pressure, I was questioning whether it would actually be assessable for residents.

Lance Hoover: That part I do not know.

Kevin Plasterer: We are planning on tying the Lions Club pavilion and our other concession stand into that gas line.

Lance Hoover: I would suspect that if we can do that then the residents would do that as well. I don't know that they have ever identified to us that it is a high pressure line and what they means in their standards. Certainly a question that we can ask as it crosses our property as well.

Andrea Lage: Anybody else? If not then we will move on to the business portion of the agenda.

BUSINESS

CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM JUNE 17, 2014

Kerri Burrows: Motion to approve.

Kathy Coy: Second.

Andrea Lage: Any further discussion? Motion carries 5-0.

CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE CONDITIONAL USE APPLICATION OF BIG DOG INVESTMENTS, L.P.

Kathy Coy: I will move to approve the conditional use application for Big Dog Investment.

Joe Hockersmith: Second.

Andrea Lage: Any further discussion? Motion carries 5-0.

Donna Sommerville: Your conditional use request has been approved this evening and there is a 30 day appeal period that begins at the date of the written decision which you will receive by mail. Anything that you do before the expiration of that appeal period will be at your own risk. Thank you.

CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE WAIVER OF PRELIMINARY LAND DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR BIG DOG INVESTMENTS, L.P.

Andrea Lage: That is just recognizing that if we are so comfortable that this is not major enough to require multiple views of the plan then we can waive this and consider it final. There is no major infrastructure changes or hook ups.

Sam Wiser: Generally the borough has waived preliminary plans when it has been one commercial lot with simple improvements.

Kerri Burrows: I will make a motion.

Kathy Coy: Second.

Andrea Lage: Motion by Kerri and a second by Kathy to approve the request to waive the preliminary land development plan. Any further discussion? Motion carries 5-0.

Andrea Lage: Okay, now we have before us a final land development plan for Big Dog Investments and they have been to the planning commission which has looked at this and recommended approval pending compliance and Martin and Martin comments as well as staff comments and acceptance of developer's agreement. So, questions and comments.

CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE FINAL LAND DEVELOPMENT PLAN FOR BIG DOG INVESTMENTS, L.P. WITH THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS

- A. COMPLIANCE WITH ALL COMMENTS FROM MARTIN AND MARTIN AND BOROUGH STAFF.
- B. ACCEPTANCE OF DEVELOPERS AGREEMENT

John Alosi: I drove out and saw this under brush and is that an old railroad right of way and at first I thought that there might be a stream there for maybe run off? That is just overgrown then?

Lane Thrush: Yes.

Joe Hockersmith: I just wanted to make sure that we are dealing with no other indication that they are expanding their water retention system. I just wanted to make sure.

Andrea Lage: That is one of the Martin and Martin comments. There is supposed to be additional data to confirm that there was no need to expand. Have we received that?

Sam Wiser: That is comment #2.

Mike Runyon: We have the letter from Martin and Martin saying that the storm water is okay.

Sam Wiser: What is the date on that letter?

Mike Runyon: June 23.

Andrea Lage: We do not have a June 23 in our packet.

Sam Wiser: Can we have a copy of that please. The office has reviewed the above reference providing the land development plan in accordance with the borough ordinances and in light of our previous comment dated May 30, 2014 we would note the following remaining comments for consideration. A note regarding conditional use approval reviewed additional data by applicant and specs from our management calculations adequately demonstrated that the proposed modification will not result in a

measurable increase in runoff. Therefore the proposal is in compliance with the boroughs storm water management requirements. The borough approval would be required regarding the proposed building construction over the existing sanitary sewer lateral. The plan is otherwise satisfactorily addressed our previous comments and appears to meet all applicable borough land development criteria which should not be acted upon until the final conditional use ruling has been made.

Andrea Lage: Okay, then that does address that then. If the storm water was addressed through that letter there was one other major action item. Whether or not we have had a decision on their construction over that lateral.

Lance Hoover: Again I think what we should or what I would place for consideration for Council is upon construction of the additional conference room over the sanitation lateral that we require the owner become responsible for the entire lateral from the main into the point of connection.

Mike Runyon: We have a note on the plans stating that we take responsibility for.

Andrea Lage: You say that you have a note?

Mike Runyon: We have.

Lance Hoover: That would be because we have no way to access that for repairs if necessary.

Andrea Lage: This is just a side question. Are the owners always responsible for the laterals?

Lance Hoover: Not fully.

Sam Wiser: Depending on where the connection is.

Andrea Lage: Okay, thank you.

Kathy Coy: But I had questions on whether there were enough entrances and exits?

Mike Runyon: That will be part of the architect design on how they design the building.

Kathy Coy: I am talking about the building itself. I didn't see any exits on the new part.

Mike Runyon: There will be an added entrance to the new part; actually there is a main door and a back door as well.

Kathy Coy: Okay, I didn't see it on the plans. I was concerned for it to meet the fire codes and things like that.

Mike Runyon: They want a separate entrance for people to come in that want to use their conference room after hours.

Andrea Lage: I have one final question. The developer's agreement mentioned here. Do we have an agreement?

Lance Hoover: At this point in time there is none. My thought is that we develop at least a very basic one to identify the requirement on the lateral and just identify a few other basic facts. Simple standard developer's agreement. Complete in writing with signatures and how it is to be maintained.

Sam Wiser: And it can be recorded.

Kathy Coy: And if there are any roads that are dug up they will be repaired.

Sam Wiser: They will have a HOP permit for the Morris St entrance that they will have to comply with the Penn Dot requirements.

Lane Thrush: Joe, you mentioned earlier about storm water and everything that we are doing here the storm water does not affect the other side of the property that you are talking about.

Joe Hockersmith: It is just that the area is so flat. The engineer agrees with the calculations.

Andrea Lage: I am not uncomfortable with the plans at all; the idea of having acceptance of a developer's agreement that doesn't exist seems odd.

Sam Wiser: It can be conditional approval on the agreement that is acceptable to council. You can approve it subject to the developer's agreement and it could come back at your next meeting.

Lance Hoover: That is what we are asking for is just condition upon acceptance of that agreement.

Andrea Lage: In the future can we have it prior to or is that not realistic?

Sam Wiser: It does depend on the nature of the land development plan. Something like this I would say yes. It is a pretty simple developer's agreement. A larger one?

Andrea Lage: Maybe just an outline of bullet points? To have both Council and the applicant at the same time understanding what may go into it. Okay.....

Joe Hockersmith: A motion to approve this as a final plan and contingent on the satisfactory completion of the developer's agreement.

Sam Wiser: Can we also reference the May 30th and June 23rd Martin and Martin comments.

Joe Hockersmith: Right and we need a copy of that.

Kathy Coy: Second.

Andrea Lage: Motion and a second to approve the final land development plan contingent upon compliance with the comments from Martin and Martin letters of May 30 and June 23 as well as the acceptance by Council and the satisfactory of the developers agreement. Any further discussion? Motion carries 5-0.

Mayor Bruce Hockersmith: I have been able to determine from Mr. Lape's question the gas line pressures can be as low as ¼ lb. per square inch and as high as 2000 lbs. per square inch. That would be on the main distribution line a pipe line. For local mains, distribution mains they can go from ¼ lb. to 200 lbs. per square inch. Industry regulations require according to what I read is that any gas main be rated twice the pounds per square inch. For example if it was ¼ it would have to be a line for ½. Everything is controlled by regulators.

Andrea Lage: Alright, thank you for that.

CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE RESOLUTION #14-013 SUPPORT FOR MUNICIPAL USE OF RADAR FOR SPEED ENFORCEMENT

Lance Hoover: At our previous meeting it was other business whether or not Council would consider this and we have prepared a resolution for Council to review and what was done. There are multiple resolutions from various municipalities throughout the state that have supported this. I took what seemed to be the most logical components of all of them. I put together something very basic and very short that says what I believe council implied in their last meeting. It is simply for discussion and if willing approval.

Joe Hockersmith: I make a motion to approve the resolution supporting the use of radar for speed control by local enforcement.

Kathy Coy: Second.

Andrea Lage: Any further discussion?

Kerri Burrows: Just for clarification this is not a resolution giving out police department permission to, this is a resolution telling the state we would like them to change the laws?

Joe Hockersmith: Correct.

Andrea Lage: Any other discussion? Motion carries 5-0.

DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION TO ADVERTISE ORDINANCE #14-904 AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER 69 ANIMALS

Andrea Lage: This is about our lease laws, as we started to discuss at our last meeting.

Lance Hoover: Correct, I believe that council had a few comments. In light of several things coming up in future meetings I decided to make those comments in front of council for additional discussion and ultimately approval if necessary. It is on as a consideration to advertise.

Andrea Lage: The changes that I saw was the addition of a length limit of 10' to the lease as well as no mention of instruments other than a physical lease. The wording was slightly changed in section 2 just to say that we would follow the Pennsylvania state dog law.

Kathy Coy: I have read through it and I would be willing to go ahead and advertise it.

Andrea Lage: Are you making a motion to advertise. We can make a motion and see if there is a second.

Kathy Coy: I make a motion to advertise 14-904 to Chapter 69 animals.

John Alosi: I will second that.

Andrea Lage: Motion and a second to advertise the ordinance. Any discussion?

Kerri Burrows: The only question that I have and this could be; my question is in the first bullet point it states public streets, highways or upon property other than that of the owner of such dog. I know that this will virtually...

Lance Hoover: That is current text that would be deleted.

Kerri Burrows: What if your dog is off a lease on a property that is permitted to be off of its leash?

Sam Wiser: Like your neighbor's yard?

Kathy Coy: I would think that this ordinance would keep that from....

Kerri Burrows: That is what I stating so then that is what I am asking. My dog has play dates. I am just wondering if the play date is at my neighbor's house?

Lance Hoover: My thought is would that friend not be considered a keeper.

Kerri Burrows: Probably. I didn't want to make a mountain out of a mole hill but I also didn't want this to create an issue with the chief where...

Andrea Lage: The owners are there, but then it would be a keeper.

Kerri Burrows: I agree if that is the intent behind it.

Andrea Lage: I think that is the intent.

Kathy Coy: If there was something that would happen the home that you are at would be the keeper and they would be the one that fine or any issues would go to them.

Andrea Lage: Kind of like your child being at a babysitters in some ways. They are the keeper at that time.

Sam Wiser: Do we want to define keeper, it is not defined in the current ordinance.

Andrea Lage: We should put in the definition of keeper.

Steve Brenize: Neither is leash. There are some things that people would consider to be a leash that are not leashes.

Kerri Burrows: We talked about that at the last meeting.

Andrea Lage: We are saying a 10' physical leash.

Steve Brenize: Yes, but a leash is not defined. An officer can go in and say you don't have a leash because you have the thing that looks like a tether that isn't a leash.

Joe Hockersmith: But that would be on your own property or the keeper's property and the leash in this instance is for dogs walking with their masters off of their property.

Andrea Lage: We need to throw in a definition.

Sam Wiser: Leash, pretty easily. I am sure that other municipalities have defined it and we can kind of piggy back on that.

Joe Hockersmith: I understand the reason for this and I will support it when we get it hammered out. Unfortunately 95% of the dog owners do not pay attention.

Andrea Lage: We all know that something can happen even when you do have a leash.

Sam Wiser: The other thing that I would add is that as we update the ordinances since the 2012 amendment to the Borough Code we have been trying to update the violations and penalties section to allow for recouping all court costs and reasonable attorney fees. In case there is an enforcement action you can try to maximize what you get back.

Andrea Lage: That seems reasonable. Is it okay with the motion maker and the second that we also define keeper and leash and have the clauses about recouping penalties and such?

Kerri Burrows: I know that you have answered this Lance but this has been put in front of the Chief and he has had the chance to make comments and he is in support of this.

Lance Hoover: Would Council like to see it again prior to advertisement?

Kathy Coy: No, I am good with it.

Andrea Lage: I think we should understand what the definition of keeper and leash might be as well as trust you on the violations clause. Is that the will of council?

Consensus: Yes, fine with us.

Andrea Lage: Any further discussion? Motion carries 5-0.

CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE MR STEVE COOVER TO THE HARB BOARD

Kerri Burrows: Motion to appoint Mr. Coover to the HARB as the real estate professional.

Kath Coy: Second.

Andrea Lage: Any further discussion? Motion carries 5-0.

CONSIDERATION TO APPROVE BRUCE HOCKERSMITH AS DELEGATE FOR PSAB CONFERENCE IN GETTYSBURG IN OCTOBER 17 – 19, 2014.

Kerri Burrows: Motion to appoint Bruce Hockersmith as the delegate to the PSAB conference in Gettysburg.

John Alosi: Second.

Andrea Lage: Any further discussion? Motion carries 5-0.

CONSIDERATION TO AWARD APPARENT LOW BID FOR PEDESTRIAN WALKWAY/GREENWAY PROJECT

Lance Hoover: We did open up last Friday the bid packets and Weavers Landscape Company was the apparent low bidder at \$99,876.78. We did receive three bid proposals and Weavers was the low. The others were Pros Concrete and Zullinger/ Brenize. Pros Concrete was #2 at \$102,000.00.

Zullinger/Brenize was #3 at \$118,108.87. I have reviewed Weavers Landscape Company and they do have all the documentation and they do have the security in the form of a cashier's check which was deemed acceptable as part of the bid specifications and they are in compliance with all of the other regulations. I have discussed it with Century Engineers and they are comfortable with what has been received and I would like to recommend to Council that we approve Weavers Landscape Company and can we also authorize the execution of the contract documents such that we can move forward.

Sam Wiser: Provide a notice of attempt to award subject to receive correct bonds and other contracts and documents. Authorize Council President to execute the agreement.

Joe Hockersmith: I will make that motion.

Kerri Burrows: Second.

Andrea Lage: Any further discussion?

Mayor: Is there an underground drain in that walkway?

Andrea Lage: There is an infiltration area.

Lance Hoover: There is a rain garden type of structure. There is no underground piping.

Mayor: I recall that you had said something about correcting the situation with the historical society.

Kevin Plasterer: I would like to get in contact with them and see if we can get in their basement and take care of it.

Mayor: If you want to know what you want to do, please let me know. I am in charge of the basement.

Andrea Lage: Is there further discussion of the bid award? Motion carries 5-0.

Andrea Lage: Executive Session with legal issue relative to construction contract, rental agreements and personnel issues relating to management employee. There will be action coming out of executive.
Executive session: Began 7:39 p.m.
Executive session: Ended 8:40 p.m.

Andrea Lage: We did in fact discuss legal issues relative to construction contracts, rental agreements and personnel issues. I do want to acknowledge that we have had a number of long executive session and it is unfortunate that they may will continue but it is common knowledge that our WWTP had some issues earlier in the year and we are still working as a Council to get to the bottom of some of those issues and come to a resolution that is for the best of the Shippensburg Community and that is the basis for a lot of these discussions. It was also brought to our attention in executive session that we have had a resignation from our WWTP manager and he has sent us a letter that reads:

Lance and members of the Board it is was regret that I have to inform you of my resignation effective July 11, 2014. I have the opportunity to manage the plant where I started in 1980 close to home and where I grew up. I want you to know that I would have never applied for the position if I had known the position at WTMA would have become available.

I want to thank the Board and Lance for giving me the opportunity and having faith in me for this position. It has been a great place to work for and I wish to give a special thanks to Andrea, Lance and Nicole for making the transition a smooth one. Again, thank you for the opportunity. Sincerely, Ed Wilson

Andrea Lage: I believe it is with regret that we have to accept his resignation.

Kerri Burrows: I will make a motion to accept Ed Wilson's resignation as the WWTP manager.

Joe Hockersmith: Second.

Andrea Lage: Any further discussion? Motion carries 5-0.

Any Other Business

Andrea Lage: Lance? Mayor? John? Kerri?

Kerri Burrows: Transportation met last week, John and I and we met with Kevin and we discussed two outstanding issues one of which was going back to the no parking on Fort St. Mostly during inclement weather. I know that we did this in 2013. The other thing is the one way on Penn St. After further clarification it was discovered that the intent was always for it to be one way on South Penn St from Orange to King and that's it. So, just that block where Messiah is would be the only one that it is one way. There were several issues that were brought to us by Kevin as the Street Manager and then also by Chief Scott. Transportation looked at those and saw the benefit in those so significantly and it is our recommendation to give Kevin the go ahead to be in contact with Penn Dot to see what would be necessary to go forward with making that a one way street. Furthermore, it if is only a traffic study giving Kevin the permission to go ahead and complete that study and then to come back to Council to make the final decision.

Joe Hockersmith: It is a local street isn't it?

Sam Wiser: It may require traffic control devices within the Penn Dot right of way.

Kerri Burrows: If anybody cares or wants to know the reasons why our street manger and our Chief of Police are interested in making that section one way I do have that information.

Andrea Lage: How do we give direction to go ahead and explore what is necessary and then come back to us with an idea what the scope of said study would be?

Kerri Burrows: Well, yes. I wasn't suggesting to giving Kevin full rein, however if it is something as simple as a look at the local traffic study I would like to give him permission to move forward with that.

Andrea Lage: But would we want to do that when we don't have students because traffic patterns are not what they will be in the fall?

Kerri Burrows: The intent was that he was actually going to do it more than once? He was actually going to do it now and then again when school came in session the last week in August or the first week in September. That was discussed.

Andrea Lage: Why do we want one when it is in the summer too? Just curious?

Lance Hoover: I think now because the time that we have now is not going to be as substantial a problem as it is when school is in and the other issues are that the traffic flow will be much greater than it is now. The issues do happen during those periods of time when school is in and in the winter time.

Andrea Lage: If you are trying to prove that it is warranted then you would want to have the highest traffic flow possible do I am just wondering why extend resources to do it before they are back.

Lance Hoover: I think timing wise we could certainly wait. That part would go very quickly.

Kerri Burrows: One of the reason that we talked about doing it the end of August , beginning of September after school was in session was because if it was a decision after the traffic study it was deemed warranted it was something that we had previously discussed and we wanted to talk about it in our next town hall which we wanted to coincide with our budget so we would be looking at the end of September, beginning of October and we wanted to make sure that Kevin had plenty of time to be able to do what he needs to do so that he can the data to us so that we can move forward at the town hall meeting.

Andrea Lage: Why don't we give direction to go ahead and find out what is required and then I was still thinking do we really, can't we find out the results of all of that at the August meeting and authorize it as an item on the agenda too and that way having it on the agenda anybody in that area could come and talk about it as well.

Kerri Burrows: That's fine.

Andrea Lage: That was my thought behind it is just to get a little bit more lead time for folks.

Kerri Burrows: So, we are giving Kevin direction to make contact with Penn Dot to see what needs to be done.

Andrea Lage: Yes. Unless that creates a major problem?

Joe Hockersmith: No, sounds good.

Kathy Coy: I had a phone call about the weeds around the Richard Textiles building and the building continues to deteriorate on the outside.

Joe Hockersmith: Motion to adjourn

Kerri Burrows: Second.


Secretary